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#### Abstract

The extension of Donsker-Prokhorov invariance principle for two-dimensional parameter summation process in Hölder space is provided for the case of independent non-identically distributed random variables.


## 1 Introduction

There exists a lot of extensions of Donsker-Prokhorov invariance principle. They are concerned with using different functional spaces, using multiparameter processes, introducing dependence and other topics. In this article the case of independent non-identically distributed random variables will be discussed. For one dimensional parameter case main results are Araujo and Gine [1] for continuous function space and Račkauskas and Suquet [7] for Hölder function space. Both results use adaptive construction of summation process. In two dimensional parameter case Bickel and Wichura [2] extended Donsker-Prokhorov invariance principle for variables with special variance structure in space $D_{2}$ of functions "continuous from above with limits from below". The result presented will generalize the result of Račkauskas and Suquet for two dimensional parameter case, by using process construction of Bickel and Wichura.

## 2 Notation and results

In this paper vectors $\boldsymbol{t}=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, are typeset in italic bold. As a vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, is endowed with the norm

$$
|\boldsymbol{t}|=\max \left(\left|t_{1}\right|,\left|t_{2}\right|\right), \quad \boldsymbol{t}=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} .
$$

We define the Hölder space $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}^{o}\left([0,1]^{2}\right)$ as the vector space of functions $x$ : $[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\|x\|_{\alpha}:=|x(0)|+\omega_{\alpha}(x, 1)<\infty
$$

[^0]with
$$
\omega_{\alpha}(x, \delta):=\sup _{0<|\boldsymbol{t}-\boldsymbol{s}| \leq \delta} \frac{|x(\boldsymbol{t})-x(\boldsymbol{s})|}{|\boldsymbol{t}-\boldsymbol{s}|^{\alpha}} \underset{\delta \rightarrow 0}{ } 0 .
$$

Endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}, H_{\alpha}^{o}\left([0,1]^{2}\right)$ is a separable Banach space, see [3] or [4].

Define triangular array with double index as

$$
\left(X_{n, i j}, 1 \leq i \leq I_{n}, 1 \leq j \leq J_{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

where for each $n$ random variables are independent. Assume that $E X_{n, i j}=0$ and that $\sigma_{n, i j}^{2}:=E X_{n, i j}^{2}=a_{n, i} b_{n, j}$, with $\sum_{i=1}^{I_{n}} a_{n, i}=1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{J_{n}} b_{n, j}=1$. For $1 \leq k \leq I_{n}, 1 \leq l \leq J_{n}$ let

$$
S_{n}(k, l)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} X_{n, i j}, \quad A_{n, k}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{n, i} \quad B_{n, l}=\sum_{j=1}^{l} b_{n, j}
$$

For $1 \leq i \leq I_{n}, 1 \leq j \leq J_{n}$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n, i j}:=\left[A_{n, i-1}, A_{n, i}\right) \times\left[B_{n, j-1}, B_{n, j}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to definition of $a_{n, i}$ and $b_{n, j}$ we get that $R_{n, i j} \cap R_{n, k l}=\varnothing$, if $(i, j) \neq(k, l)$, $\cup_{1 \leq i \leq I_{n}} \cup_{1 \leq j \leq J_{n}} R_{n, i j}=[0,1)^{2}$ and $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq I_{n}} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq J_{n}}\left|R_{n, i j}\right|=1$, where $|A|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Now define the summation process

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq I_{n}} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq J_{n}}\left|R_{n, i j}\right|^{-1}\left|R_{n, i j} \cap\left[0, t_{1}\right] \times\left[0, t_{2}\right]\right| X_{n, i j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Wiener sheet $W(\boldsymbol{t}), \boldsymbol{t} \in[0,1]^{2}$ is a mean zero Gausian process with covariance function $E W(\boldsymbol{t}) W(\boldsymbol{s})=\min \left(t_{1}, s_{1}\right) \min \left(t_{2}, s_{2}\right)$.

Now we can state our main result.
Theorem 1 For $0<\alpha<1 / 2$, set $q>1 /(1 / 2-\alpha)$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{1 \leq i \leq I_{n}} a_{n, i} \rightarrow 0 \text { with } \max _{1 \leq j \leq J_{n}} b_{n, j} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq I_{n}} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq J_{n}}\left(a_{n, i} b_{n, j}\right)^{-q \alpha} E\left|X_{n, i j}\right|^{q}=0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\xi_{n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}^{o}\left([0,1]^{2}\right)} W
$$

This theorem generalizes theorem 2 from [7] in a sense that if we "lose one dimension" the theorems will be the same.

## 3 Background and tools

### 3.1 Summation process

As in [5] define operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{i}^{(1)} S_{n}(k, l) & =S_{n}(i, l)-S_{n}(i-1, l) \\
\Delta_{j}^{(2)} S_{n}(k, l) & =S_{n}(k, j)-S_{n}(k, j-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that they commute, and for each $1 \leq i \leq I_{n}, 1 \leq j \leq J_{n}$

$$
X_{n, i j}=\Delta_{i}^{(1)} \Delta_{j}^{(2)} S_{n}(k, l)
$$

Define

$$
A_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)=\max \left\{k \geq 0: A_{n, k}<t_{1}\right\}, \quad B_{n}\left(t_{2}\right)=\max \left\{l \geq 0: B_{n, l}<t_{2}\right\} .
$$

Fix $\boldsymbol{t}=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ and denote by $I=A_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)$ and $J=B_{n}\left(t_{2}\right)$. Similar to [6] and [5] we get that $\xi_{n}(\boldsymbol{t})$ has such representation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) & =S_{n}(I, J)+\frac{t_{1}-a_{n, I}}{a_{I+1}} \Delta_{I+1}^{(1)} S_{n}(I, J)+\frac{t_{2}-b_{n, J}}{b_{J+1}} \Delta_{J+1}^{(2)} S_{n}(I, J) \\
& +\frac{t_{1}-a_{n, I}}{a_{I+1}} \frac{t_{2}-b_{n, J}}{b_{J+1}} \Delta_{I+1}^{(1)} S_{n}(I, J) \Delta_{J+1}^{(2)} S_{n}(I, J) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2 Tightness criteria

Let

$$
U_{j}=\left\{k 2^{-j} ; 0 \leq k \leq 2^{j}\right\}^{2}
$$

and let $V_{0}=U_{0}, U_{j}=U_{j} \backslash U_{j-1}$. $V_{j}$ is the set of dyadic points $\boldsymbol{v}=\left(k_{1} 2^{-j}, k_{2} 2^{-j}\right)$ in $U_{j}$ with at least one $k_{i}$ odd. For any continuous function $x$ define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{0, \boldsymbol{v}}(x)=x(\boldsymbol{v}), \quad \boldsymbol{v} \in V_{0} \\
& \lambda_{j, \boldsymbol{v}}(x)=x(\boldsymbol{v})-\frac{1}{2}\left(x\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{-}\right)+x\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{+}\right)\right), \quad \boldsymbol{v} \in V_{j}, \quad j \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
v_{i}^{-}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
v_{i}-2^{-j}, & \text { if } k_{i} \text { is odd; } \\
v_{i}, & \text { if } k_{i} \text { is even }
\end{array} \quad v_{i}^{+}= \begin{cases}v_{i}+2^{-j}, & \text { if } k_{i} \text { is odd } \\
v_{i}, & \text { if } k_{i} \text { is even }\end{cases}\right.
$$

for $i=1,2$.
As a tightness criterion we will use following theorem, which is a special case of theorem 6 in [5].

Theorem 2 The sequence $\left(Z_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ of random elements of Hölder space $H_{\alpha}\left([0,1]^{2}\right)$ is asymptotically tight if following conditions hold:
(i) For each dyadic $\boldsymbol{t} \in[0,1]^{2}$, sequence $Z_{n}(\boldsymbol{t})$ is asymptotically tight.
(ii) For each $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\lim _{J \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\sup _{j \geq J} 2^{\alpha j} \max _{\boldsymbol{v} \in V_{j}}\left|\lambda_{j, \boldsymbol{v}}\left(Z_{n}\right)\right|>\varepsilon\right)
$$

## 4 Proof of theorem 1

### 4.1 Finite-dimensional distributions

Fix $\boldsymbol{t}=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ and denote by $I=A_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)$ and $J=B_{n}\left(t_{2}\right)$. Define process

$$
\zeta_{n}(\boldsymbol{t})=S_{n}(I, J)
$$

This process coincides with the process considered by Bickel and Wichura [2]. Now from (5) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\xi_{n}(\boldsymbol{t})-\zeta_{n}(\boldsymbol{t})\right)^{2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{J} a_{I+1} b_{n, j}+\sum_{i=1}^{I} a_{i} b_{J+1}+a_{I+1} b_{J+1} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to (3). Furthermore since

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{I_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{J_{n}} E X_{n, i j}^{2} \mathbf{1}\left\{\left|X_{n, i j}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{q-2}} \sum_{i=1}^{I_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{J_{n}} E\left|X_{n, i j}\right|^{q}
$$

we get that condition (4) ensures that Lindeberg condition is satisfied, thus conditions of theorem 5 from [2] are satisfied and $\zeta_{n}(\boldsymbol{t})$ finite-dimensional distributions converge to Wiener sheet finite-dimensional distributions. Now (6) ensures the same for process $\xi_{n}(\boldsymbol{t})$.

### 4.2 Tightness

We will use theorem 2. The condition (i) follows from convergence of finite dimensional distributions. We now prove condition (ii). Due to definition of $\lambda_{j, \boldsymbol{v}}\left(\xi_{n}\right)$ it is easy to check, that (ii) holds, provided that, for every $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{J \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\sup _{j \geq J} 2^{\alpha j} \max _{\substack{r \in D_{j} \\ 0 \leq l \leq 2^{j}}} \mid \xi_{\boldsymbol{n}}\left(r, s_{l}\right)-\xi_{\boldsymbol{n}}\left(r^{-}, s_{l} \mid>\varepsilon\right)=0\right. \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{j}=\left\{2(l-1) 2^{-j} ; 1 \leq l \leq 2^{j-1}\right\}, r^{-}=r-2^{-j}, s_{l}=l 2^{-j}$.
Denote $\mathbf{v}=\left(r, s_{l}\right), \mathbf{v}^{-}=\left(r^{-}, s_{l}\right)$. Also denote $I=A_{n}(r), I^{-}=A_{n}\left(r^{-}\right)$, $J=B_{n}\left(s_{l}\right)$. Consider following confogurations

Case 1. $A_{n}(r)=A_{n}\left(r^{-}\right)$. Then
$\xi_{n}\left(r, s_{l}\right)-\xi_{n}\left(r^{-}, s_{l}\right)=\frac{r-r^{-}}{a_{I+1}} \Delta_{I+1}^{(1)} S_{n}(I, J)+\frac{r-r^{-}}{a_{I+1}} \frac{s_{l}-b_{n, J}}{b_{J+1}} \Delta_{I+1}^{(1)} \Delta_{J+1}^{(2)} S_{n}(I, J)$
Since

$$
\frac{r-r^{-}}{a_{I+1}} \leq \frac{2^{-j \alpha}}{a_{I+1}^{\alpha}}
$$

we have

$$
\left|\xi_{n}\left(r, s_{l}\right)-\xi_{n}\left(r^{-}, s_{l}\right)\right| \leq 3 \cdot 2^{-j \alpha} \max _{\substack{1 \leq i \leq I_{n} \\ 1 \leq j \leq J_{n}}}\left|\frac{\Delta_{i}^{(1)} S_{n}(i, j)}{a_{n, i}^{\alpha}}\right|
$$

Case 2. $A_{n}(r)=A_{n}\left(r^{-}\right)+1$. The same inequality holds with right side multiplied by 2 .

Case 3. $A_{n}(r)>A_{n}\left(r^{-}\right)+1$. Put $\mathbf{u}=\left(A_{n, I}, s_{l}\right), \mathbf{u}=\left(A_{n, I^{-}}, s_{l}\right)$. Then

$$
\left|\xi_{n}(\mathbf{v})-\xi_{\boldsymbol{n}}\left(\mathbf{v}^{-}\right)\right| \leq\left|\xi_{n}(\mathbf{v})-\xi_{n}(\mathbf{u})\right|+\left|\xi_{n}(\mathbf{u})-\xi_{\boldsymbol{n}}\left(\mathbf{u}^{-}\right)\right|+\left|\xi_{n}\left(\mathbf{u}^{-}\right)-\xi_{n}\left(\mathbf{v}^{-}\right)\right|
$$

We have
$\left|\xi_{n}(\mathbf{u})-\xi_{n}\left(\mathbf{u}^{-}\right)\right|=S_{n}(I, J)-S_{n}\left(I^{-}, J\right)+\frac{s_{l}-b_{n, J}}{b_{J+1}} \Delta_{J+1}^{(2)}\left(S_{n}(I, J)-S_{n}\left(I^{-}, J\right)\right)$
thus

$$
\left|\xi_{n}(\mathbf{u})-\xi_{n}\left(\mathbf{u}^{-}\right)\right| \leq 3 \max _{1 \leq j \leq J_{n}} \sum_{i=I^{-}+2}^{I} \Delta_{i}^{(1)} S_{n}(i, j)
$$

Using these inequalities we get that (7) will hold if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{J \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_{1}(J, n ; \varepsilon)=0  \tag{8}\\
& \lim _{J \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_{2}(J, n ; \varepsilon)=0, \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{1}(J, n ; \varepsilon)=P\left(\max _{\substack{1 \leq i \leq I_{n} \\ 1 \leq j \leq J_{n}}}\left|\frac{\Delta_{i}^{(1)} S_{n}(i, j)}{a_{n, i}^{\alpha}}\right|>\varepsilon\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{2}(J, n ; \varepsilon)=P\left(\sup _{j \geq J} 2^{-j \alpha} \max _{r \in D_{j}} \max _{1 \leq l \leq J_{n}} \sum_{k=A_{n}\left(r^{-}\right)+2}^{A_{n}(r)}\left|\Delta_{k}^{(1)} S_{n}(k, l)\right|>\varepsilon\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of (8). Using Markov and Doob inequalities for $q>1 /(1 / 2-\alpha)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{1} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{I_{n}} \varepsilon^{-q} a_{n, i}^{-q \alpha} E\left|\Delta_{i}^{(1)} S_{n}\left(i, J_{n}\right)\right|^{q} \\
& \leq c \sum_{i=1}^{I_{n}} \varepsilon^{-q} a_{n, i}^{-q \alpha}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{J_{n}} a_{n, i} b_{n, j}\right)^{q / 2}+\sum_{j=1}^{J_{n}} E\left|X_{n, i j}\right|^{q}\right) \\
& \leq c \varepsilon^{-q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{I_{n}} a_{n, i}^{q(1 / 2-\alpha)}+\sum_{i=1}^{I_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{J_{n}}\left(a_{n, i} b_{n, j}\right)^{-q \alpha} E\left|X_{n, i j}\right|^{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now (8) follows from (3) and (4).
Proof of (9). Using Doob and Rosenthal inequalities and the definition of $A_{n}(r)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{2}(J, n, \varepsilon) & \leq \sum_{j \geq J} \sum_{r \in D_{j}} \varepsilon^{-q} 2^{\alpha j q} E\left(\max _{1 \leq j \leq J_{n}} \sum_{k=A_{n}\left(r^{-}\right)+2}^{A_{n}(r)}\left|\Delta_{k}^{(1)} S_{n}(k, l)\right|\right)^{q} \\
& \leq \sum_{j \geq J} \sum_{r \in D_{j}} \varepsilon^{-q} 2^{\alpha j q} c\left(\left(\sum_{k=A_{n}\left(r^{-}\right)+2}^{A_{n}(r)} \sum_{l=1}^{J_{n}} a_{n, k} b_{n, l} l\right)^{q / 2}+\sum_{k=A_{n}\left(r^{-}\right)+2}^{A_{n}(r)} \sum_{l=1}^{J_{n}} E\left|X_{n, k l}\right|^{q}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{j \geq J} \varepsilon^{-q} 2^{j(1+\alpha q-q / 2)}+\sum_{j \geq J} \sum_{r \in D_{j}} \varepsilon^{-q} 2^{\alpha j q} \sum_{k=A_{n}\left(r^{-}\right)+2}^{A_{n}(r)} \sum_{l=1}^{J_{n}} E\left|X_{n, k l}\right|^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first of the summands does not depend on $n$ and tends to zero, when $J$ tends to infinity, since $q>1 /(1 / 2-\alpha)$. Denote by $I(J, n, q)$ the second sum without the constant $c \varepsilon^{-q}$. By changing the order of summation we get

$$
I(J, n, q)=\sum_{k=1}^{I_{n}} \sum_{l=1}^{J_{n}} E\left|X_{n, k l}\right|^{q} \sum_{j \geq J} 2^{\alpha q j} \sum_{r \in D_{j}} \mathbf{1}\left\{A_{n}\left(r^{-}\right)+1<k \leq A_{n}(r)\right\}
$$

The inner sum can be estimated identically to [7], with the slight change of notation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \geq J} 2^{\alpha q j} \sum_{r \in D_{j}} \mathbf{1}\left\{A_{n}\left(r^{-}\right)+1<k \leq A_{n}(r)\right\} \leq \frac{2^{q \alpha}}{2^{q \alpha}-1} a_{k}^{-\alpha q} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12) we get

$$
I(J, n, q) \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{I_{n}} \sum_{l=1}^{J_{n}} a_{k}^{-q \alpha} E\left|X_{n, k l}\right|^{q} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{I_{n}} \sum_{l=1}^{J_{n}}\left(a_{k} b_{l}\right)^{-q \alpha} E\left|X_{n, k l}\right|^{q}
$$

and we see that (11) ensures (9), thus condition (ii) holds.
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